



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,100	10/21/2003	Mark H. Shipton	117396	3816
25944 7590 10/02/2008 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850				
EXAMINER				
MILLER, MICHAEL G				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1792				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/02/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**Interview Summary****Application No.**

10/689,100

**Applicant(s)**

SHIPTON ET AL.

**Examiner**

MICHAEL G. MILLER

**Art Unit**

1792

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MICHAEL G. MILLER.(3) Abdul Basit.(2) Michael Cleveland.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 09 September 2008.Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.If Yes, brief description:  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_Claim(s) discussed: See Continuation Sheet.Identification of prior art discussed: See Continuation Sheet.Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/689,100

**Applicant(s)**

SHIPTON ET AL.

**Examiner**

MICHAEL G. MILLER

**Art Unit**

1792

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MICHAEL G. MILLER.(3) Abdul Basit.(2) Michael Cleveland

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 09 September 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description:

---

Claim(s) discussed: See Continuation Sheet.

Identification of prior art discussed: See Continuation Sheet.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Claims Discussed: 1 and 10 generallyIdentification of Prior Art Discussed: Klotz, Bomberger, Mosser

Substance of Interview: 1) Temperature language in claim 1 was discussed. 2) Analogy of substrates and ion concentration/presence was discussed. 3) Criticality of concentration for coating components was discussed.